

Conglomerate Teaching Assistant Evaluation, Fall 2006 Final

Dept	Crs	Sec	Enrl	Title	Instructor(s)	TA(s)
CHEM	104A	04	71	General Chemistry Lab	(Phillips, Shawn Thomas)	(Carter, Melissa Diane) (Merritt, Jennifer Robin) (Hiatt, Leslie Ann)
CHEM	104A	09	37	General Chemistry Lab	(Phillips, Shawn Thomas)	(Kliman, Michal) (Ringstrand, Bryan Scott) (Hiatt, Leslie Ann)

1. (CHEM_T_1:S1) I found my teaching assistant to be helpful in the laboratory

1. Hardly ever	2. Occasionally	3. Sometimes	4. Frequently	5. Almost always	Total	Average	St. Dev.
0	0	2	9	6	17	4.23	0.64
0%	0%	12%	53%	35%			

2. (CHEM_T_2:S2) I felt that my teaching assistant was available to assist me outside of the regularly scheduled laboratory period, if needed

1. Hardly ever	2. Occasionally	3. Sometimes	4. Frequently	5. Almost always	Total	Average	St. Dev.
2	1	4	6	4	17	3.52	1.24
12%	6%	24%	35%	24%			

3. (CHEM_T_3:S3) My teaching assistant seemed willing to assist me during the laboratory period.

1. Hardly ever	2. Occasionally	3. Sometimes	4. Frequently	5. Almost always	Total	Average	St. Dev.
0	0	0	9	8	17	4.47	0.49
0%	0%	0%	53%	47%			

4. (CHEM_T_4:S4) My teaching assistant didn't just show me how to do things or tell me the answers but made me think and work out my own answers

1. Hardly ever	2. Occasionally	3. Sometimes	4. Frequently	5. Almost always	Total	Average	St. Dev.
0	0	4	8	5	17	4.05	0.72
0%	0%	24%	47%	29%			

5. (CHEM_T_5:S5) The attitude of my teaching assistant encouraged me in the laboratory

1. Hardly ever	2. Occasionally	3. Sometimes	4. Frequently	5. Almost always	Total	Average	St. Dev.
0	0	4	6	7	17	4.17	0.78
0%	0%	24%	35%	41%			

6. (CHEM_T_6:S6) My teaching assistant was well-prepared for each laboratory

1. Hardly ever	2. Occasionally	3. Sometimes	4. Frequently	5. Almost always	Total	Average	St. Dev.
0	1	1	8	7	17	4.23	0.80
0%	6%	6%	47%	41%			

7. (CHEM_T_7:S7) My teaching assistant was capable enough to answer the questions that I had

1. Hardly ever	2. Occasionally	3. Sometimes	4. Frequently	5. Almost always	Total	Average	St. Dev.
0 0%	1 6%	1 6%	5 29%	10 59%	17	4.41	0.84

8. (CHEM_T_8:S8) My teaching assistant did not give me misleading or incorrect instructions during the laboratory period

1. Hardly ever	2. Occasionally	3. Sometimes	4. Frequently	5. Almost always	Total	Average	St. Dev.
0 0%	1 6%	1 6%	6 35%	9 53%	17	4.35	0.83

9. (CHEM_T_9:S9) My teaching assistant was able to communicate clearly with me

1. Hardly ever	2. Occasionally	3. Sometimes	4. Frequently	5. Almost always	Total	Average	St. Dev.
0 0%	0 0%	2 12%	6 35%	9 53%	17	4.41	0.69

10. (CHEM_T_10:S10) (Note: The grading scale used for your laboratory reports was not created by your teaching assistant, but by the faculty member in overall charge of the laboratory.) My graded laboratory reports were returned promptly to me at the next laboratory period after they were turned in

1. Hardly ever	2. Occasionally	3. Sometimes	4. Frequently	5. Almost always	Total	Average	St. Dev.
0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 6%	16 94%	17	4.94	0.23

11. (CHEM_T_11:S11) My laboratory reports were graded accurately

1. Hardly ever	2. Occasionally	3. Sometimes	4. Frequently	5. Almost always	Total	Average	St. Dev.
0 0%	1 6%	2 12%	5 29%	9 53%	17	4.29	0.89

12. (CHEM_T_12:S12) My overall satisfaction with my teaching assistant this semester is

1. Very low	2. Not very good	3. Average	4. Very good	5. Excellent, one of the best TA's I've had	Total	Average	St. Dev.
0 0%	0 0%	4 24%	6 35%	7 41%	17	4.17	0.78

13. (CHEM_T_13:S13) General comments about the Teaching Assistant:(3% responding. Comments follow below...)

13. (CHEM_T_13:S13) General comments about the Teaching Assistant:
My TA really help me doing the experiment and always help when I problems.
grading was very hard
I feel that Leslie was very helpful as a TA and was always available during lab and outside of lab. She was

very helpful in explaining questions I had during lab and made sure I understood the concepts by giving me hints instead of working the problems out as examples.